

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/922,818	08/06/2001	Eun Sam Kim	2080-3-31	8857	
	35884	7590 10/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	LEE, HONG	LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA			SHIBRU, HELEN	
	801 S. FIGUE 12TH FLOOF	1 S. FIGUEROA STREET TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			2621		
				DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/922,818	KIM, EUN SAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HELEN SHIBRU	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow						
Disposition of Claims	Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the corre	rawn from consideration. for election requirement. her. ccepted or b) objected to by the led drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the led to be drawing(s) is objected to by the led to be drawing(s) is objected to by the led to be drawing(s) is objected to by the led to be drawing(s) is objected to by the led to be drawing(s) is objected to be drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendments, filed 10/17/2006, have been entered and made of record. Claims 1-20 are pending. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skelley (US Pat. No. 6,795,638) in view of Middleton (US PG PUB. 2002/0118300).

Regarding claim 1, Skelley discloses a method for editing a digital broadcasting material, the method comprising the steps of:

clipping segments from the digital broadcasting material consisting of program segments and being recorded in a recording medium in a stream type (see col. 4 lines 48-62, col. 5 lines 38-51 and line 52-col. 6 line 3);

recording the clipped segments as new programs, respectively (see col. 5 lines 38-51 and line 64-col. 6 line 10 and lines 25-34, and col. 7 lines 46-54); and

Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

wherein the step for clipping the segments comprises the steps of:

reproducing the digital broadcasting material (see col. 6 lines 23-31); and

selecting a predetermined period of the digital broadcasting material, by designating a start point and an end point thereof (see col. 5 lines 14-29 and lines 43-65, col. 6 lines 28-31 and col. 7 lines 14-22).

Claim 1 differs from Skelly in that the claim further requires selecting some of the recorded programs, and merging the selected programs into a new program.

In the same field of endeavor Middleton discloses a method of viewing a sequence of media clips. Middleton discloses creating new programme from the clips stored in the memory (see page 1 paragraphs 0006-0007). Therefore in light of the teaching in Middleton it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Skelly by further creating a new program from the recorded clips in order to playback the programme of clips without discontinuities.

Regarding claim 3, Skelley discloses full streams of the digital broadcasting material are reproduced (see col. 4 lines 48-62 and col. 6 lines 23-26).

Regarding claim 4, Skelley discloses the digital broadcasting material is reproduced at intervals of a predetermined length (see col. 4 lines 34-62 and col. 5 lines 43-51).

Regarding claim 5, Skelley discloses a representative screen of the digital broadcasting material is reproduced (see col. 5 line 51-col. 6 line 3).

Regarding claim 6, Middleton discloses the step for selecting some of the recorded programs, and merging the selected programs into the new program comprises a step for designating an order of the selected programs (see paragraph 0006).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 7, Skelley discloses the step for selecting some of the recorded programs, and merging the selected programs into the new program comprises a step for recording a screen relating to the merged program (see figures 2 and 3).

Regarding claim 8, Skelley discloses a method for editing a program in a personal video recording system, the method comprising the steps of:

reproducing a program recorded by a user (see col. 4 lines 48-62);

clipping predetermined periods of the reproduced program by selecting a start point and an end point associated with each the predetermined periods (see col. 5 lines 22-30 and 43-51); and

recording the clipped predetermined periods (see col. 5 line 66-col. 6 line 3 and lines 31-34); and

Claim 8 differs from Skelly in that the claim further requires merging selected periods of the recording predetermined periods into a merged program.

In the same field of endeavor Middleton discloses creating a new program and selecting of the duration of the playback so that the clips are played in a shorter time or by providing a start time and end time relative to the beginning and end of the clip (see paragraph 0006).

Therefore in light of the teaching in Middleton it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skelly by providing a predetermined periods into a merged program in order to adjust playback time.

Regarding claim 9, Skelley discloses the program recorded by the user is a broadcasting program recorded in a hard disk (see col. 3 line 62-col. 4 line 10 and 57-62, and col. 9 lines 28-41).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 11, Skellye discloses full streams of the program recorded by the user are reproduced (see col. 4 lines 34-62 col. 6 lines 20-26).

Regarding claim 12, Skelley discloses the program recorded by the user is reproduced at intervals of a predetermined length (see col. 5 lines 31-37).

Regarding claim 13, Skelley discloses a representative screen of the program recorded by the user is reproduced (see col. 5 line 51-col. 6 line 3).

Regarding claim 14, Skelley discloses a method for editing a program in a personal video recording system, comprising the steps of:

reproducing a recorded program (see col. 4 lines 48-62);

clipping predetermined streams from the reproduced program by selecting a start point and an end point associated with each the predetermined streams (see col. 5 lines 22-30 and 43-51);

recording the clipped streams (see col. 5 lines 38-51 and col. 6 lines 18-34);

Claim 14 differs from Skelly in that the claim further requires merging the recorded clipped streams, and recording the merged streams as a new.

In the same field of endeavor Middleton discloses merging the clipped streams (see rejection of claim 1 above), and recording the merged streams as a new program (see paragraphs 0007, 0015 and 0019).

Regarding claim 15, Middleton discloses the step for merging the clipped streams designates an order of the clipped streams, and merges the streams in the designated order (see paragraph 0006).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 16, Middleton discloses the step for merging the clipped streams merges a representative screen of the clipped streams (see figs 2-4).

Regarding claims 17-19, these claims are rejected for the same reason as discussed above in claims 3-5 respectively.

5. Claims 2, 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Middleton and Skelley in view of Escobar (US Pat. No. 5,659,793).

Regarding claim 2, claim 2 differs from Skelley and Middleton in that the claim further requires the digital broadcasting material is provided in a multiple number. Although Skelley does not specifically teach that the materials are provided in a multiple number, Skelley teaches the event database includes different event types (see col. 5 lines 43-48). Skelley also discloses related events can be added to the database from another source (see col. 5 lines 48-51).

In the same field of endeavor Escobar discloses the video assets are marked as beginning and ending point (see col. 11 line 61-col. 12 line 4). Escobar further discloses the assets are originated from different channels (see fig. 7 and col. 12 lines 4-5). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the proposed combination of Skelley and Middleton by providing broadcasting material in multiple number in order to retrieve objects from different server.

Claims 10 and 20 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 2 above.

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HELEN SHIBRU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7329. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THAI Q. TRAN can be reached on (571) 272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Helen Shibru October 18, 2006

Page 7